

201408-ECON326-0105-INTERMED MICRO ANALYSIS - Prateik Dalmia - Teaching Assistant Report (TA-View) Fall 2014

University of Maryland Course Evaluation Fall 2014

Number of Students Enrolled: 24

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 10

Response Rate: 41.7%

Subject Details

DIVISION_SD BSOS

DEPARTMENT BSOS-Economics

Report Comments

This report presents feedback received from students for the course **201408-ECON326-0105-INTERMED MICRO ANALYSIS** and for the Teaching Assistant **Prateik Dalmia** in that course. Course means are provided as well as department, college, and college course-level means (e.g., all 200-level courses in a college). Means are calculated from all responses by all students in the unit (i.e., course section, department, college, course-level in a college) on that item and exclude NA/not applicable responses. A grade table is included on the next page.

Indication is provided below for the Large Lecture Group if there is one affiliated with this course section.

Semester: 201408

Course #: ECON326

Section #: 105

Course Name: 201408-ECON326-0105-INTERMED MICRO ANALYSIS

Large Lecture Group: 201408-ECON326-0101

Teaching Assistant: Prateik Dalmia

Creation Date Thu, Jan 22, 2015

Grade Distribution:

Student Count	Grade A	Grade B	Grade C	Grade D	Grade F	Grade PS	Grade W
24	9	6	7	0	1	0	0

Grade distribution is current as of January 8, 2015 and includes students receiving a W for the course. Some grades are not included (e.g., Cancel, Incomplete). Student Count reflects total enrollment as of September 23, 2014 and includes any students auditing the course.

Administrator University-wide Teaching Assistant Items

Results are for use by teaching assistants, faculty/instructors, and for administrative purposes. N/A responses have been excluded from the following calculations.

University of Maryland Teaching Assistant (TA) Prateik Dalmia Items

By Score

Scale is Strongly Disagree (0) to Strongly Agree (4) with a Neutral mid-point

Question	Course	Department	College	Course Level
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
The teaching assistant (TA) treated students with respect.	3.60	3.34	3.28	3.44
The teaching assistant (TA) was well-prepared for class.	3.30	3.12	3.14	3.28
Overall, this teaching assistant (TA) was an effective teacher.	3.40	2.88	2.99	3.10

By Frequency

1. The teaching assistant (TA) treated students with respect.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0.0%
Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Neutral	2	0	0.0%
Agree	3	4	40.0%
Strongly Agree	4	6	60.0%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	10
Mean	3.60
Standard Deviation	+/-0.52

2. The teaching assistant (TA) was well-prepared for class.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0.0%
Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Neutral	2	0	0.0%
Agree	3	7	70.0%
Strongly Agree	4	3	30.0%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	10
Mean	3.30
Standard Deviation	+/-0.48

3. Overall, this teaching assistant (TA) was an effective teacher.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0.0%
Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Neutral	2	0	0.0%
Agree	3	6	60.0%
Strongly Agree	4	4	40.0%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	10
Mean	3.40
Standard Deviation	+/-0.52

Additional TA Prateik Dalmia related comments (e.g., about the discussion/lab/studio section, TA's teaching style, etc.)

Comment

Great TA. Helpful and caring.

Prateik made the concepts a bit more accessible. I found myself using his notes rather than the professor's to complete much of my homework and study from.

Prateik is a very smart guy, and he really wants to help everyone. He doesn't run through material, he makes sure that everyone understands. I have a lot of respect for him.

Did not have many office hours.

End of Report

