

## 201508-ECON300-0103-MTHDS ECON ANALYSIS - Prateik Dalmia - Teaching Assistant Report (TA-View) Fall 2015

### University of Maryland Course Evaluation Fall 2015

Number of Students Enrolled: 31

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 17

Response Rate: 54.8%

#### Subject Details

**DIVISION\_SD** BSOS  
**DEPARTMENT** BSOS-Economics  
**INSTR\_CT** 1  
**TA\_CT** 1  
**TEACHING\_ROLE** TA

#### Report Comments

This report presents feedback received from students for the course **201508-ECON300-0103-MTHDS ECON ANALYSIS** and for the Teaching Assistant **Prateik Dalmia** in that course. Course means are provided as well as department, college, and college course-level means (e.g., all 200-level courses in a college). Means are calculated from all responses by all students in the unit (i.e., course section, department, college, course-level in a college) on that item and exclude NA/not applicable responses. A grade table is included on the next page.

Indication is provided below for the Large Lecture Group if there is one affiliated with this course section.

**Semester: 201508**

**Course #: ECON300**

**Section #: 0103**

**Course Name: 201508-ECON300-0103-MTHDS ECON ANALYSIS**

**Large Lecture Group: 201508-ECON300-0101**

**Teaching Assistant: Prateik Dalmia**

**Creation Date** Tue, Jan 19, 2016

Grade Distribution:

<b>Student Count</b>	<b>Grade A</b>	<b>Grade B</b>	<b>Grade C</b>	<b>Grade D</b>	<b>Grade F</b>	<b>Grade PS</b>	<b>Grade W</b>
31	13	12	2	1	1	0	1

Grade distribution is current as of January 4, 2016 and includes students receiving a W for the course. Some grades are not included (e.g., Cancel, Incomplete). Student Count reflects total enrollment as of September 27, 2015 and includes any students auditing the course.

## Administrator University-wide Teaching Assistant Items

Results are for use by teaching assistants, faculty/instructors, and for administrative purposes. N/A responses have been excluded from the following calculations.

### University of Maryland Teaching Assistant (TA) Prateik Dalmia Items

#### By Score

Scale is Strongly Disagree (0) to Strongly Agree (4) with a Neutral mid-point

Question	Course	Department	College	Course Level
	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
The teaching assistant (TA) treated students with respect.	3.41	3.43	3.24	2.97
The teaching assistant (TA) was well-prepared for class.	3.35	3.25	3.13	2.86
Overall, this teaching assistant (TA) was an effective teacher.	3.29	3.12	3.00	2.73

#### By Frequency

1. The teaching assistant (TA) treated students with respect.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0.0%
Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Neutral	2	2	11.8%
Agree	3	6	35.3%
Strongly Agree	4	9	52.9%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	17
Mean	3.41
Standard Deviation	+/-0.71

2. The teaching assistant (TA) was well-prepared for class.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0.0%
Disagree	1	0	0.0%
Neutral	2	2	11.8%
Agree	3	7	41.2%
Strongly Agree	4	8	47.1%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	17
Mean	3.35
Standard Deviation	+/-0.70

3. Overall, this teaching assistant (TA) was an effective teacher.

Options	Score	Count	Percentage
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0.0%
Disagree	1	1	5.9%
Neutral	2	2	11.8%
Agree	3	5	29.4%
Strongly Agree	4	9	52.9%

Statistics	Value
Response Count	17
Mean	3.29
Standard Deviation	+/-0.92

**Additional TA Prateik Dalmia related comments (e.g., about the discussion/lab/studio section, TA's teaching style, etc.)**

**Comment**

Great!!

**End of Report**

